

**NEBRASKA NATURAL RESOURCES COMMISSION**  
**MEETING MINUTES – December 18, 2018**  
**HOLIDAY INN - KEARNEY**

**Roll Call:**

Commissioners		Absent	Present	Commissioners		Absent		Present
Amen	Karen		X	Rains	Darrell M.	X		
Anderson	Garry		X	Reynolds	Michael (Mick)	X		
Barels	Brian		X	Schroer	Lyle			X
Batie	Donald	X		Shields	Jim			X
Clouse	Stan		X	Smathers	Scott			X
Czaplewski	Mark		X	Steffen	Jeff			X
Deines	Dave		X	Strauch	Walter Dennis			X
Dunbar	Brad		X	Sugden	Steven			X
Fornoff	Kevin		X	Taylor	Loren			X
Hadenfeldt	N. Richard		X	Thompson	Jim			X
Halligan	Bill		X	Wilke	Greg			X
Heaston	John		X	Wright	Chad			X
Knutson	Thomas	X		(Livestock)	Vacant			
Kraus	Don		X					

**NeDNR staff in attendance:**

Jeff Fassett, Tim Freed, Kent Zimmerman, LeRoy Sievers, and Jill Richters.

**Others in attendance were:**

Ken Quandt, McCrometer, Dustin Wilcox, NARD; Russell Callan, LLNRD; Lyndon Vogt, CPNRD; Lalit Jha, JEO; Scott Dicke, LRNRD; Tylr Naprstek, LLNRD; Jonathan Mohr, JEO; Mike Onnen, LBNRD; Karen Griffin, OA; Janel Kaufman, FYRA; Sean Elliott, LPNNRD; Tom Mountford, LPNNRD.

**CALL TO ORDER, ROLL CALL**

Chairman Strauch called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m. in the Holiday Inn & Convention Center, Kearney, Nebraska, and asked Zimmerman to call the roll.

**NOTICE OF THE MEETING**

Notice of the meeting was published on the State Public Meetings Calendar and on the Natural Resources Commission web site at <https://nrc.nebraska.gov>. A copy of Nebraska’s open meeting statutes was available in the room.

**MINUTES**

**Czaplewski moved and Shields seconded the motion to approve the minutes of the August 15, 2018, Commission meeting.**

**Motion Passed.**

Commissioner	Aye	Nay	Abstain	Absent	Commissioner	Aye	Nay	Abstain	Absent
Amen	X				Rains				X
Anderson	X				Reynolds				X
Barels	X				Schroer	X			
Batie				X	Shields	X			
Clouse	X				Smathers	X			
Czaplewski	X				Steffen	X			
Deines	X				Strauch	X			
Dunbar	X				Sugden			X	
Fornoff	X				Taylor	X			
Hadenfeldt	X				Thompson	X			
Halligan	X				Wilke	X			
Heaston	X				Wright	X			
Knutson				X	(Vacant)				
Kraus	X				<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>

**PUBLIC COMMENTS**

Chairman Strauch opened the floor for public comments, none were offered.

**DNR UPDATE & EXPENDITURES REPORT**

Director Fassett reviewed NeDNR activities and Tim Freed noted the NRC Fund Expenditures and Cash Funds Balance reports, as of November 30, 2018, had been distributed prior to the meeting.

**PROGRAM COMMITTEE**

Because the Program Committee did not meet, staff led the discussion on agenda topics pertaining to program activities. No unusual activity was reported during review of status reports for the following funds.

**Resources Development Fund**

- The status report showed business as usual.

**Small Watersheds Flood Control Fund**

- This is currently an unfunded program.

**Soil & Water Conservation Program Fund**

- The status report showed business as usual.

**Natural Resources Water Quality Fund**

- The next semi-annual funds distribution will be made in February.

**Water Well Decommissioning Fund**

- The status report showed business as usual.

**Water Sustainability Fund**

- The status report showed business as usual. Staff noted recent project reimbursement requests had increased.
- The North Platte NRD requested transfer of the remaining balance for WSF #4119 into an account that they could draw upon to continue making easement payments throughout the end of each contract's payment period. Commissioners discussed the transparency and precedent prepaying might set, the loss of interest which would otherwise be available to the fund, and the potential that it may be viewed as hiding state funds because the money would no longer show up on the books.

**Taylor moved and Steffen seconded the motion to deny the North Platte NRD request to transfer funds.**

**Motion Passed.**

Commissioner	Aye	Nay	Abstain	Absent	Commissioner	Aye	Nay	Abstain	Absent
Amen	X				Rains				X
Anderson	X				Reynolds				X
Barels	X				Schroer	X			
Batie				X	Shields	X			
Clouse	X				Smathers	X			
Czaplewski	X				Steffen	X			
Deines		X			Strauch	X			
Dunbar	X				Sugden	X			
Fornoff	X				Taylor	X			
Hadenfeldt	X				Thompson	X			
Halligan	X				Wilke	X			
Heaston	X				Wright	X			
Knutson	X				(Vacant)				
Kraus				X	<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>

- The Lower Platte North NRD requested approval of an amended plan of development for WSF #5201. Assistant manager Tom Mountford thanked the Commission for its support of this project and then described the changes being proposed and the reasons for relocating the first structure a short distance upstream, and for constructing two smaller structures upstream of the second larger site originally proposed. The second site was below the confluence of the two streams upon which the smaller structures will be located.

Anderson pointed out that moving the second structure upstream of the original road structure site would cause the county to incur additional cost due to the need to replace the county road bridge which would have been covered within the dam construction costs. Mountford replied that the county was aware of the change and that the repair costs to the county would still be reduced compared to that which would have been otherwise incurred due to the construction of these two flood retarding structures immediately up stream of the road. Mountford also noted that the county wanted to continue to work with the NRD on these structures by prohibiting inhabitable dwellings downstream of the breach area, which reduces construction costs.

Chairman Strauch asked Mountford to identify the cost savings resulting from implementation of these changes. Mountford replied there would be a total project cost reduction of between \$1 - \$1.2 million (about \$350,000 due to the road structure modifications at the second site and about \$700,000 at the first site (No. 27)).

Thompson stated that he thought the project sponsors had set an excellent precedent in coming up with ways to implement cost savings while at the same time obtaining the benefits originally proposed.

**Thompson moved and Anderson seconded the motion to approve the Lower Platte North NRD request to change the plan of development for the scope of work to WSF #5201 and request the Department to amend the existing contract to include the amended plan of development.**

Hadenfeldt questioned how stream mitigation is computed. Janel Kaufman of FYRA Engineering, responded that you start by looking at the functional value of the existing stream and compare that to what the post project conditions will be, and if that gives you a loss of functional credit, you are required to mitigate for that loss. The United States Army, Corps of Engineers (Corps) holds jurisdiction over these requirements through the 404 permitting process.

Chairman Strauch noted that the NRC Executive Committee had discussed this request during its meeting on December 17, 2018, and concluded that with the cost reduction of between \$1 and \$1.2 million, the Commission should address the reduced funding needs with a contract amendment once those numbers become firm. Dunbar noted that would amount to about \$700,000 being returned to the fund.

Kaufman stated that the numbers offered were still estimates and that when the costs are incurred, they may be higher. Mountford also noted that the fund rules do not allow for cost overruns and that a reduction at this time might be premature, while at the same time understanding the Commission's desire to not unnecessarily lock up funds that might otherwise be used by another project.

Heaston questioned Mountford regarding the time frame necessary to obtain the cost information. Chairman Strauch suggested using a two-step approval process including approval of the application and a separate motion to report back with cost information.

**Heaston offered a motion to amend requiring the LPNNRD report back to the Commission within 12 months, the financial change resulting from the change in plan of development specifically addressing the amount the project cost had decreased due to the requested changes to the plan of development.**

**Motion Failed for lack of second.**

Chairman Strauch noted that this project must be completed by 2022 to comply with federal program funding requirements. That time frame is consistent with many other WSF projects, and any unclaimed funding is returned to the fund at that time. Kraus stated that the Commission should establish whether this accomplishes the same thing that was originally approved. Several Commissioners noted that it accomplishes the same purpose, the cost/benefit ratio is better, but the acre-feet of flood reduction decreases.

Barels stated that this sets a precedent, and he believes the sponsor should provide the cost information. The Commission should then adjust the funding to bring funding in-line with cost estimates. Kraus noted that the amendment submitted provides the cost information and is before the Commission now to act on. Thompson noted that the fund rules do not address taking back money, and that any unclaimed funding will be returned to the fund at close-out, which happens in the normal course of work for any contract. He also reiterated that the sponsor should be commended for finding better ways to complete the project while saving money.

**Barels moved and Dunbar seconded a motion to amend the motion to accept the amended application as submitted by the sponsor including the reduced total project costs of \$4,054,760.**

Anderson noted that it might be wise to vote to help the Commission get off dead center. Chairman Strauch restated the original motion and amendment for clarification and noted that the cost information was part of the amended application.

Sievers stated that the rules provide that when a project becomes aware that it has a change of scope or plan of development, it must report those changes to the Director. The Director must then review the changes to see if the project is still consistent with the statutes for eligibility of funding which is a part of the Director's original review. It then goes to the Commission for review and approval so that the contract can be amended to reflect the change so that future staff reviews of reimbursement requests as well as project development work meets that which has been approved by the Commission and under contract with the Department. Sievers noted that the sponsor has not requested a change to the amount of funding it had requested. If it had, it would have submitted an amendment to the question in Section "A" of the application that specifically asks how much money the sponsor is asking for. That is not part of this request and the sponsor is not asking for a change to its grant.

Zimmerman noted that if the intent of the amendment is to reduce the project allocation through a contract amendment, the motion needs to state the dollar amount of the reduction.

Clouse summarized the situation as the amendment is to add costs and the original motion is to address the changes to the plan of work. We need to vote on the amendment, and then on the original motion. Clouse further stated that he believes the sponsor is doing the right thing in coming back to report the changes and that every other sponsor should do the same thing. Finally, that while he understands Barels point, Sievers is correct, the issue is the change to the plan of work, not to change the funding.

After discussion, Barels and Dunbar agreed to withdraw the amendment.

**Motion Withdrawn.**

Barels noted that the Commission could ask the sponsor to come back to the next Commission meeting with a revised application including a revised funding amount.

**Barels moved to amend the original motion to require the LPNNRD to report back at the March Commission meeting the changes to the project’s cost information related to the changes in plan of development.**

**Motion Failed for lack of second.**

**Sugden moved and Czaplewski seconded the motion to cease debate and call the question.**

**Motion Passed.**

Commissioner	Aye	Nay	Abstain	Absent	Commissioner	Aye	Nay	Abstain	Absent
Amen	X				Rains				X
Anderson	X				Reynolds				X
Barels	X				Schroer	X			
Batie				X	Shields	X			
Clouse	X				Smathers	X			
Czaplewski	X				Steffen	X			
Deines	X				Strauch	X			
Dunbar		X			Sugden	X			
Fornoff	X				Taylor	X			
Hadenfeldt		X			Thompson	X			
Halligan	X				Wilke		X		
Heaston		X			Wright		X		
Knutson				X	(Vacant)				
Kraus	X				<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>

Chairman Strauch asked for the original motion to be read.

(Original Motion by Thompson that was seconded by Anderson to approve the Lower Platte North NRD request to change the plan of development for the scope of work to WSF #5201 and request the Department to amend the existing contract to include the amended plan of development).

**Motion Passed.**

Commissioner	Aye	Nay	Abstain	Absent	Commissioner	Aye	Nay	Abstain	Absent
Amen	X				Rains				X
Anderson	X				Reynolds				X
Barels		X			Schroer	X			
Batie				X	Shields	X			
Clouse	X				Smathers	X			
Czaplewski	X				Steffen	X			
Deines	X				Strauch	X			
Dunbar	X				Sugden	X			
Fornoff	X				Taylor	X			
Hadenfeldt	X				Thompson	X			
Halligan	X				Wilke	X			
Heaston	X				Wright		X		
Knutson				X	(Vacant)				
Kraus	X				<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>

- Project Sponsor updates included the following comments.  
The Village of Howells project (WSF #4162) is nearly complete. The project sponsor has indicated there will be one additional reimbursement request in early 2019 for less than \$25,000.

The LPNNRD submitted an email that they are concluding WSF project #4135 and will not be requesting reimbursement for the remaining funds.

Lyndon Vogt, General Manager, Central Platte NRD, stated that their WSF project # 4124 is running behind schedule because of delays in obtaining the 2010 COHYST data,



which is needed to complete this model. Current projections indicate this project will be completed by the end of 2019.

Mike Ommen, General Manager, Little Blue NRD (LBNRD), reported on two different WSF projects, No. 4146 & 4147, stating that it took a while to get the plans developed for these and then when they let bids in May of 2018 only one bid was received and it came in at over double the projected cost. The LBNRD board rejected the bid. It was re-advertised with a longer construction period being allowed. Only two bids came in and again the bids were high. A number of contractors reported that the project would not fit into their schedules. Therefore, the board chose to waive a third bid letting and negotiated with one of the contractors to award the contract. The materials have been ordered and construction will begin after the first of the year. The second project, # 4147, is an ox-bow reconnection project including four structures. Design went well and included a pre-construction meeting with staff from the Corps. That meeting appears to have aided in obtaining the permit. The permit has been awarded, survey work completed and the plans approved by the LBNRD board. The project is currently out for bids. Staff is in the process of obtaining easements and the project should move forward in 2019.

#### **APPLICATIONS REVIEW COMMITTEE**

Chairman Smathers thanked Committee members for their participation noting the amount of time and work that goes into being on the Application Review Committee (ARC). Smathers also reviewed committee membership changes made by NRC Chairman Strauch resulting from schedule conflicts that arose after the last Commission meeting. Also, due to a last minute personal decision, one committee member did not participate this year leaving the committee one member short. The Committee members were: Jeff Steffen (Vice-Chair), Mark Czaplewski, Brad Dunbar, Richard Hadenfeldt, Bill Halligan, Don Kraus, Loren Taylor and Greg Wilke. Stan Clouse and Jim Thompson served as alternates.

Smathers described the process used by the ARC in producing the Committee's recommendation (Attachment No. 1). Following review by Director Fassett and NeDNR staff, the applications found to be "satisfactory" were forwarded to Commissioners for review and scoring. ARC members individually reviewed and scored the applications, then met October 23 & 24 in Gothenburg to discuss each application, reach consensus on a recommended score, and prepare scoring and funding recommendations (Attachment 1) for consideration by the full Commission prior to this meeting. Smathers also noted: 1) that Director Fassett had provided comments on some applications in response to an ARC request for NeDNR input regarding any concerns early in the application review process and 2) that applications for combined sewer overflow projects are not scored.

Smathers then led discussion on each application starting with those requesting \$250,000 or less. It was noted that each Commissioner had reviewed and scored each applications at home prior to this meeting. Commissioners were reminded that it takes an affirmative vote of 14 or more to

override the ARC’s recommendation. After review of each application, NRC Chairman Strauch asked Commissioners if anyone wanted to make a motion to amend the ARC’s recommended score.

**Wright moved and Sugden seconded the motion to approve the scores recommended by the Application Review Committee for applications requesting \$250,000.00 or less and fund those with scores of 33 or more points.** (Note: Those applications are #5214 – score 46; #5220 – score 39; #5206 – score 38; #5222 – score 37; #5209 – score 33; and #5218 – score 33.)

**Motion Passed.**

Commissioner	Aye	Nay	Abstain	Absent	Commissioner	Aye	Nay	Abstain	Absent
Amen	X				Rains				X
Anderson	X				Reynolds				X
Barels	X				Schroer	X			
Batie				X	Shields	X			
Clouse	X				Smathers	X			
Czaplewski	X				Steffen	X			
Deines	X				Strauch	X			
Dunbar	X				Sugden	X			
Fornoff	X				Taylor	X			
Hadenfeldt	X				Thompson	X			
Halligan	X				Wilke	X			
Heaston	X				Wright	X			
Knutson				X	(Vacant)				
Kraus	X				<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>

NRC Chairman Strauch directed Smathers to begin review of the projects requesting more than \$250,000. Smathers noted that one combined sewer overflow separation application (# 5205) was filed by the City of Omaha. That application is not scored but must be approved for 10% of the WSF appropriation per statute. Smathers then led the application review discussion, project by project. The ARC recommended projects that scored 41 points or more.

Taylor stated that each commissioner would have a slightly different view on what water sustainability is and that he scored #5217 lower. He understood that others on the ARC had different ideas and that the outcome is what it is.

Sievers pointed out that the 15 scoring questions are based upon criteria set out by the Legislature. The scoring committee is subject to having to review each question on each application based upon the criteria the Legislature gave them. While some may want to promote applications that pertain directly to water sustainability that is not what the Legislature instructed. Project sponsors must try to obtain as many points as they can over 15 different areas and some projects simply focus on a narrow issue and don't receive points in some of the other categories which results in a lower total score. Smathers pointed out that you learn being on the ARC that everyone represents stakeholders and those stakeholders' issues go through that persons mind in the scoring process. When the ARC convenes, there is debate over some applications because everyone has their own views. That is why there are twelve commissioners' reviews on the ARC for each application; to provide balance.

**Czaplewski moved and Barels seconded the motion to approve the scores recommended by the Application Review Committee for applications requesting more than \$250,000 and to fund the CSO (# 5205) project and all requests with scores of 41 points or more.** (Note: Those applications are # 5213 – score 44; # 5221 – score 42; and # 5217 – score 41.)

**Motion Passed.**

Commissioner	Aye	Nay	Abstain	Absent	Commissioner	Aye	Nay	Abstain	Absent
Amen	X				Rains				X
Anderson	X				Reynolds				X
Barels	X				Schroer	X			
Batie				X	Shields	X			
Clouse	X				Smathers	X			
Czaplewski	X				Steffen	X			
Deines	X				Strauch	X			
Dunbar	X				Sugden	X			
Fornoff	X				Taylor	X			
Hadenfeldt	X				Thompson	X			
Halligan	X				Wilke	X			
Heaston	X				Wright		X		
Knutson				X	(Vacant)				
Kraus	X				<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>

## EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Chairman Strauch stated the Executive Committee has drafted some proposed Policy Statement changes for discussion at this meeting, with potential action at the next meeting. The Committee's proposed changes include the potential need to deal with Legislative issues that may arise between Commission meetings, situations where a project sponsor encounters an emergency scope change between Commission meetings that requires an immediate response, and changes to define Per Diem.

Sievers noted that a number of policies dealing with the Resources Development Fund are now moot because the fund is no longer being funded. Therefore, Policies No.'s V, VII, IX, X, XII, XIV & XV are being recommended to be repealed. The draft policy changes had been emailed to Commissioners prior to the meeting and a written copy of those changes was also included in each Commissioner's meeting packet. Sievers asked for any comments or proposed changes to be emailed to him following this meeting. The changes will be discussed and possibly acted upon at the next Commission meeting.

## COMPREHENSIVE PLANNING COMMITTEE

Committee Chairman Barels thanked the Committee members for all of their hard work and dedication that has gone into this committee recently and noted that many of the people who participated in the "Listening Session" are in the audience today and also offered thanks to those folks for their assistance. Barels gave the following Comprehensive Planning Committee update:

- 1.) The Commission is waiting for a response from the Governor's Policy Research Office regarding authorization to formally move forward and set a hearing date for changes to Rules Titles 257, 259, 262, & 263.
- 2.) The committee has met five times since the last Commission meeting regarding the issue of whether or not an applicant needs to acquire permits prior to making an application and how to proceed with changes to Title 261. After receiving feedback from a stakeholder group during a listening session, the committee decided there are too many variables to make a firm recommendation for change at this time. Instead the Committee is recommending changes to the application form to collect additional information up front regarding permits and proposed plans of development within the application.
- 3.) The changes being proposed to the WSF application form, along with the existing application form, were emailed to Commissioners prior to this meeting and a hard copy included within each Commissioner's meeting packet. The primary change is to include the section "D" information within section "A," and to obtain additional information regarding permits and the proposed plan of development. The changes are also structured to make it easier for the Commission's application review. The goal is to

implement these changes early in 2019 in time for the applicants to file this form for their July 2019 applications.

Barels touched on some of the significant changes being proposed. Item/Question No. 3 covers permits and the additional information being sought will later be used to track project progress through feedback in the sponsor's annual report. Changes includes: 1.) When the applicant would apply for each permit, 2.) When the applicant anticipates receiving the permit, & 3.) The applicant's estimate of the cost to receive each permit. Item/Question No.7 is intended to develop a little more information on the scheduling of the proposed project to aid in monitoring project progress with the annual reports filed by the sponsor.

The old section "A" question No. 5, asking if the application has been previously submitted for funding assistance, was removed as this was not providing any value to the process because each application is scored each year regardless of any prior scores that may have been assigned to a previous application.

The old section "D" question No. 5 regarding support and opposition to a projects was also removed as it was not being used by either the Commission or the Department.

Item/Question No. 6 was changed from 1,000 characters to 1,000 words. All remaining questions previously found in Sections "A" & "D" are in the proposed new section "A", but may be in a different format and numbering sequence.

Amen questioned if Environmental Impacts and Environmental Assessments should be included, Barels stated the Committee would review whether it would require a rules change or if it could be part of the application and if so that may fit into the application schedule question, potentially.

Barels asked if anyone had any concerns with implementing these changes, none was offered. NRC Chairman Strauch noted that these changes were being proposed by the Comprehensive Planning Committee along with NeDNR staff and are to be a suggestion to staff, without a formal motion. Therefore, the revised application will be placed on the website for use in 2019 including minor changes within section "B" being made by NeDNR staff. Smathers added that while this application form can be changed at any time, in fairness to the project sponsors any additional changes recognized should not be implemented until August or later for use in the following round of applications as we do not want to receive applications using two different forms. Barels again asked Commissioners to forward any additional thoughts for these topics to himself, staff or Chairman Strauch. Barels also noted that those participating in the "Listening Session" had provided some recommendations and had provided input which would have

required some major rewrites to the changes being proposed, ultimately leading the Committee to decide to pursue receipt of additional information during the application process and to learn from the project's perspective as we go forward rather than moving forward with rules changes at this time.

### LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE

Chairman Dunbar opened by stating that with all of the newly elected senators this year, education has become a key component for this committee. Recently Chairman Strauch authored a power point presentation describing the Water Sustainability Fund and presented that at the NWRA/NSIA Annual meeting in November. Dunbar met with the NRC Executive Committee on December 17 and discussed the need to pursue an education campaign with new senators and some of the Legislature's Committee members. Chairman Strauch added that this information would also be used for the new NRC members as part of an orientation and training session to help them understand what the WSF is and how it works.

### OTHER BUSINESS


- A vacancy exists for the Commission position to represent Livestock Producers.
- Seven caucuses will be held January 4 – 11, 2019. Those caucuses include two members within the Missouri River Tributaries Basin; and one each from the Lower Platte River Basin, South Platte River Basin, Loup River Basin, Big Blue River Basin and Nemaha River Basin. Notification of the caucuses will be mailed to each NRD's board members found to be eligible to vote in the 2019 basin caucuses.
- Chairman Strauch and the rest of the Commission thanked Commissioners Sugden and Thompson, with a round of applause, for their service to the Commission as they have each decided not to run for re-election.
- The next meeting will be held on March 6, 2019, at the Hampton Inn in Kearney. Chairman Strauch has appointed a Nominating Committee to bring forward a recommendation for Chairman and Vice-Chairman at that meeting. Commissioners are also asked to review their calendar prior to that meeting because the meeting dates for the following year will be scheduled at next meeting.
- Chairman Strauch thanked Director Fassett for attending and wished everyone a Merry Christmas.

### ADJOURNMENT

- The meeting was adjourned at 12:10 p.m.



Dennis Strauch, Chairman



Gordon W. "Jeff" Fassett, P.E. Director

# 2018 NRC Application Review Committee Recommendation

5205 Omaha Combined Sewer Overflow Program 1,030,952

App No.	Project name	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Q11	Q12	Q13	Q14	Q15	Bonus	Sc Com Rec Tot	Requested \$ Amt.	Sc Com Rec Amt
<b>Small (≤ \$250,000)</b>																				
5214	CPNRD Conceptual Multi-use Dam Design	4	4	6	4	4	4	2	4	2	2	3	2	1	2	2	0	46	56,270	
5220	MRNRD Phase 2 High Tech Irr Implementation Project	2	4	4	2	4	4	4	2	2	2	2	2	1	2	2	0	39	250,000	
5206	LLNRD Aquifer Mapping Project	4	4	2	4	2	4	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	0	38	250,000	
5222	URNRD Soil Moisture Probe Program	2	4	2	4	2	4	4	2	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	0	37	86,400	
5209	LLNRD Cover Crop Water Use Study	2	4	2	2	2	4	0	2	2	2	3	2	2	2	2	0	33	250,000	
5218	SPNRD WWUM Update & Extension	2	4	4	2	4	2	2	2	0	2	2	1	2	2	2	0	33	240,000	
5210	LLNRD Drought Mgt Plan	2	4	2	2	4	4	2	2	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	0	31	52,260	\$ 1,132,670
5211	Malcolm's Aquifer Assessment	2	4	2	2	2	4	0	2	1	2	2	1	2	2	2	0	30	25,000	
5208	LBBNRD GW Sustainability Mgt Plan	2	2	2	2	2	4	2	2	1	2	2	1	2	1	2	0	29	225,000	

App No.	Project name	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Q11	Q12	Q13	Q14	Q15	Bonus	Sc Com Rec Tot	Requested \$ Amt.	Sc Com Rec Amt
<b>Large (↑ \$250,000)</b>																				
5213	FCID Phase 2 Rubicon	2	4	4	4	4	4	6	2	1	2	3	2	2	2	2	0	44	528,643	
5221	URNRD Remote Monitoring	2	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	0	42	375,336	
5217	Papio NRDs WP-1 Structure	2	4	2	4	4	4	2	6	2	2	2	1	2	2	2	0	41	4,735,048	
5216	MRNRD Hydro-geologic Mapping Project	2	4	2	4	2	2	4	2	2	1	2	2	1	2	2	0	34	697,289	\$ 5,639,027
5215	LENRD Sub-Regional GW Model	2	4	2	4	4	4	0	2	1	2	2	1	1	2	2	0	33	321,600	
5207	Farwell Irr Dist - Bury Lateral 8.3	2	4	2	4	4	4	0	2	1	2	2	1	1	2	1	0	32	474,000	